

Robotica Antropomorfa

Francesco Nori
 iron@liralab.it
 www.dei.unipd.it/~iron

Open Questions

- How can we predict the trajectory followed by the system when it is driven by a given force field F ? (Dynamic model of the limb)
- Is there a way of choosing the 'complete' set of elementary force fields F_k ? (A trivial solution to the spinal field synthesis problem)
- How should we choose joint torques τ so as to obtain a given basis force field F_k ? (The map $\tau \rightarrow F_k$)
- How do we choose muscle activations so as to obtain a given joint torque?
- Which is the minimum number of elementary force fields that we need to perform a 'complete' set of movements?
- Is there a way of choosing the primitives to accommodate different kinematic structures?

05/04/2007

2

A trivial solution to the synthesis problem (1/2)

HINT:

The gain matrix do not change with k

$$\tau_k = -K_v \dot{q} - K_p (q - q_{d,k})$$

convergent to the equilibrium $q_{d,k}$

And impose the following for all admissible q_d :

$$\sum_{k=1}^K \lambda_k \tau_k(q, \dot{q}) = -K_v \dot{q} - K_p (q - q_d)$$

Which can be rewritten:

$$\sum_{k=1}^K \lambda_k [K_v \dot{q} + K_p (q - q_{d,k})] = K_v \dot{q} + K_p (q - q_d)$$

Which is verified if:

$$\sum_{k=1}^K \lambda_k = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{k=1}^K \lambda_k q_{d,k} = q_d$$

05/04/2007

3

A trivial solution to the synthesis problem (2/2)

Rewriting the previous expression:

$$n+1 \left\{ \begin{matrix} q_{d,1} & \dots & q_{d,K} \\ 1 & \dots & 1 \end{matrix} \right\} \begin{matrix} \lambda_1 \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_K \end{matrix} = \begin{matrix} q_d \\ 1 \end{matrix}$$

Which has a solution for any q_d if and only if the matrix on the left has full row rank. This observation gives a criteria to choose the equilibrium points realized by the elementary controls.

Moreover, we can have full row rank only if:

$$K \geq n+1$$

This can be proven to be the minimum number of primitives necessary to control a n-DOF kinematic chain!

05/04/2007

4

Back to the end-effector space

In the redundant case we can go back and forth:

$$\tau(q, \dot{q}) = \sum_{k=1}^K \lambda_k \tau_k(q, \dot{q}) \iff \vec{F}(z, \dot{z}) = \sum_{k=1}^K \lambda_k \vec{F}_k(z, \dot{z})$$

Using the following equations:

The direct kinematics can be (locally) inverted:
 INVERSE KINEMATIC

$$z = \Lambda(q) \iff q = \Lambda_{inv}(z)$$

$$\dot{z} = J(q)\dot{q} \iff \dot{q} = J(q)^{-1}\dot{z} \iff \dot{q} = [J(\Lambda_{inv}(z))]^{-1}\dot{z}$$

$$\tau(q, \dot{q}) = J^T(q)\vec{F} \iff \vec{F}(q, \dot{q}) = J^{-T}(q)\tau(q, \dot{q}) \iff \dots$$

$$\dots \iff \vec{F}(z, \dot{z}) = J^{-T}(\Lambda_{inv}(z))\tau(\Lambda_{inv}(z), J(q)\dot{z})$$

05/04/2007

5

Graphical representation of the fields(2DOF chain)


$$\tau_k(q, \dot{q})$$

$$\vec{F}_k(z, \dot{z})$$

Can be graphically represented (null velocities): $\tau_k(q, 0)$

Looks quite different in the Cartesian space: $\vec{F}_k(z, 0)$

EXAMPLE




05/04/2007

6

Trajectory tracking

We have seen how to choose the control action so as to drive the system to a predefined (global) equilibrium. Sometimes we are interested in tracking a given trajectory.



Specifically, we are interested in finding a control action such that a given trajectory is asymptotically tracked i.e. the tracking error:

$$e = q - q_d$$

asymptotically tends to zero.

05/04/2007

7

COMPUTED TORQUE control of a chain (1/2)

Suppose that you know the matrices M, C, G of the dynamical model:

$$\tau = M(q)(\ddot{q}_d + \hat{\tau}) + C(q, \dot{q})\dot{q} + G(q) \quad \text{Control Action}$$

$$M(q)\ddot{q} + C(q, \dot{q})\dot{q} + G(q) = \tau \quad \text{System Dynamics}$$

$$M(q)\ddot{q} = M(q)(\ddot{q}_d + \hat{\tau})$$

NOTE: $M(q)$ is invertible

$$\ddot{q} = \ddot{q}_d + \hat{\tau}$$

$$\ddot{e} = -K_v \dot{e} - K_p e$$

Tracking error
 $e = q - q_d$

We now prove that: $e \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow \infty} 0$

05/04/2007

8

COMPUTED TORQUE control of a chain (2/2)

FACT: If the matrices K_p and K_v are symmetric and positive definite then the 'computed torque' control law results in exponential trajectory tracking i.e. $e \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow \infty} 0$

PROOF: First observe that the dynamics of e are linear and can be rewritten as follows:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \begin{bmatrix} e \\ \dot{e} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I \\ -K_p & -K_v \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} e \\ \dot{e} \end{bmatrix}$$

We want to prove that all the eigenvalues of A have negative real part. Let λ be one eigenvalue and (v_1, v_2) be the corresponding eigenvector. Then we have:

$$\lambda \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I \\ -K_p & -K_v \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} v_2 \\ -K_p v_1 - K_v v_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Without loss of generality choose v_1 with unitary norm so that we have:

$$\lambda^2 = v_1^* \lambda^2 v_1 = v_1^* \lambda v_2 = -v_1^* K_p v_1 - \lambda v_1^* K_v v_1 \rightarrow \beta$$

where $\alpha > 0$ and $\beta > 0$ because K_p and K_v are positive definite. We therefore have:

$$\lambda^2 + \beta \lambda + \alpha = 0 \quad \text{Descartes's rule} \quad \text{Re}(\lambda) < 0$$

05/04/2007

9

Decomposition of the control action

The 'computed torque' control action can be approximated with the linear sum of a finite number of elementary control actions:

$$\tau = M(q)(\ddot{q}_d - K_v \dot{e} - K_p e) + C(q, \dot{q})\dot{q} + G(q)$$

Can be written as follows:

$$\tau(q, \dot{q}) = \sum_{k=1}^K \lambda_k \tau_k(q, \dot{q}, t) \quad \text{Elementary control action}$$

With the following definition:

$$\tau_k(q, \dot{q}, t) = M(q)(\ddot{q}_d^k - K_v \dot{e} - K_p e) + C(q, \dot{q})\dot{q} + G(q)$$

And choosing combiners according to the following rules:

$$\sum_{k=1}^K \lambda_k = 1$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_k \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_K \end{bmatrix} = \lambda \arg \min_{\lambda} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^K \lambda_k q^k(t) - q_d(t) \right\|$$

Suitably defined metric in the space of trajectories, e.g.: $\|q(t)\| = \int_0^t q^k(t) dt$

05/04/2007

10

Control action in the task space(1/2)

Consider the following 'non-redundant' manipulator:

$$M(q)\ddot{q} + C(q, \dot{q})\dot{q} + G(q) = \tau \quad q \in \mathbb{R}^n, \dot{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \quad \text{End-effector position}$$

And let the Jacobian be:

$$x = h(q) \quad \dot{x} = J(q)\dot{q} \quad \dot{q} = J^{-1}(q)\dot{x}$$

Computations lead to:

$$\tilde{M}(q)\dot{x} + \tilde{C}(q, \dot{x})\dot{x} + \tilde{G}(q) = F$$

where:

$$\tilde{M}(q) = J^{-T}(q)M(q)J^{-1}(q)$$

$$\tilde{C}(q, \dot{x}) = J^{-T}(q) \left[C(q)J^{-1}(q) + M(q) \frac{d}{dt} J^{-1}(q) \right]$$

$$\tilde{G}(q) = J^{-T}(q)G(q)$$

$$F = J^{-T}(q)\tau$$

05/04/2007

11

Control action in the task space (2/2)

LEMMA: the matrices of the dynamic model of a kinematic chain satisfy the same properties that hold for M and C :

$$(1) \quad \tilde{M}(q) = \tilde{M}(q)^T > 0$$

Follows from the fact that the kinetic energy is \geq zero and equals zero only at rest

$$(2) \quad \dot{\tilde{M}}(q) - 2\tilde{C}(q, \dot{q}) \text{ is skew symmetric}$$

(passivity property) It implies that in absence of friction the total energy of the system is conserved

A given matrix A is skew symmetric if and only if:

$$A = -A^T$$

05/04/2007

12

Control in the task space

PD Control in the task space:

Stabilizing controller $F = \tilde{G}(q) - K_v \dot{x} - K_p (x - x_d)$

Tracking Control in the task space:


Tracking error $e = x - x_d$

Tracking controller $F = \tilde{M}(q)(\ddot{x}_d - K_v \dot{e} - K_p e) + \tilde{C}(q, \dot{q})\dot{q} + \tilde{G}(q)$

05/04/2007

13

Modeling the spinal field experiment



Suppose that we choose a given control action:

$$\tau_k(q, \dot{q})$$

What would be the force measured by the force transducer (even in presence of redundancy)?

NOTE: Remember that the measured force corresponds to the force that is needed to keep the end-effector in place!

05/04/2007

14

Model dynamics in presence of constraints (1/2)

$$x = h(q)$$

End effector position

constraint $x = h(q) = \bar{x}$

Constraint: keep the end effector in place

Pfaffian form of the constraint $\dot{x} = J(q)\dot{q} = 0$

The equation of motion turns out to be:

$$M(q)\ddot{q} + C(q, \dot{q})\dot{q} + G(q) + (J^T(q)F_k) = \tau_k(q, \dot{q})$$

Which leads to:

$$\ddot{q} = M^{-1}(q)[-C(q, \dot{q})\dot{q} - G(q) - J^T(q)f + \tau_k(q, \dot{q})]$$

That can be used in:

$$J(q)\ddot{q} + \frac{d}{dt}A(q)\dot{q} = 0$$

The columns of this matrix span the normal space to the constraint. Externally imposed force F_k (to enforce the constraint) Effect of the force on joint torques

05/04/2007

15

Model dynamics in presence of constraints (2/2)

Leading to:

$$J(q)M^{-1}(q)J^T(q)F_k = J(q)M^{-1}(q)[\tau_k(q, \dot{q}) - C(q, \dot{q})\dot{q} - G(q)] + \frac{d}{dt}A(q)\dot{q}$$

or:

$$F_k = (JM^{-1}J^T)^{-1} \left[JM^{-1}(\tau_k - C\dot{q} - G) + \left(\frac{d}{dt}A \right) \dot{q} \right]$$

Coming back to the force measured in Bizzi's experiment:

The force was measured with the limb at rest, i.e.:

$$\dot{q} = 0$$

And on the horizontal plane:

$$G(q) = 0$$

Therefore the force corresponds to:

$$F_k = (JM^{-1}J^T)^{-1} JM^{-1} \tau_k$$

And if the chain is non-redundant (J is invertible):

$$F_k = (J^T)^{-1} \tau_k$$

05/04/2007

16

Interested?

Check out my web page

(<http://www.dei.unipd.it/~iron>)

and have a look at Bizzi Lab web site

(<http://web.mit.edu/bcs/bizzilab/>)