ADAPT Some future work or, rather, a collection of ideas

Paris, Jan 21st, 2003



I'll start with...

- Presenting a robotic experiment, with some assumptions
- I won't claim this is completely true for infants/humans, and it's not even the way we'd need to be doing things in Adapt
- ...consider it as inspiring
- In the hope of generating a lot of discussion



Assumptions

- Visual skills: use motion to detect object boundaries, attentional system to guide the robot's visual attention
- No hand, only poking and prodding
- Body self-image: i.e. simple reaching and visuo-motor coordination
- I imagine to jump to our conceptual stage of "playing with objects"



This is work borrowed from another project

- t₀: motion segmentation + body selfimage
- t₁: learning something about objects
- t₂: interpreting actions performed by others
- Glue: exploiting causal understanding (in some not too deep sense)



Various snapshots

- Timidly touching an object...
- Poke to understand poking
- What does an object afford?
- Detecting others



Objects come to existence because they are manipulated





- Timidly touching an object...
- Poke to understand poking
- What does an object afford?
- Detecting others



Simplest Form of Manipulation

- What is the simplest possible manipulative gesture?
 - Contact with object is necessary; can't do much without it
 - Contact with object is sufficient for certain classes of affordances to come into play (e.g. rolling)
 - So can use various styles of poking/prodding/tapping/swiping as basic manipulative gestures
 - (if willing to omit the *manus* from manipulation...)



Push to understand pushing

• Act first to learn



2002 @DIST









• Ready to push!





- Timidly touching an object...
- Poke to understand poking
- What does an object afford?
- Detecting others

Preferred direction of motion 0.5 0.4 0.4 Bottle, "pointiness"=0.13 Car, "pointiness"=0.07 estimated probability of occurrence 0.3 0.3 0.2 0.2 Rolls at right Rolls angles to 0.1 along 0.1 principal axis principal axis 00 00 60 70 20 70 10 20 80 10 30 40 30 40 50 90 50 60 80 90 0.5 0.5 0.4 0.4 otiness"=0.0 tiness"=0.02 Bal 0.3 0.3 0.2 0.2 0.1 0.1 00 00 10 20 70 10 20 80 90 70 80 90 difference between angle of motion and principal axis of object [degrees]



Behavior: poking according to affordance





- Timidly touching an object...
- Poke to understand poking
- What does an object afford?
- Detecting others



Interpreting observations

Invoking the object's natural rolling affordance

Going against the object's natural rolling affordance

Demonstration by human

Mimicry in similar situation

Mimicry when object is rotated





Behavior: mimicry





... and because we are not willing to omit the "manus" from manipulation!





A bit of hardware improvement

- Glue a bunch of tactile sensors to the robot hand (fingertips, palm, etc.)
- Of course, if we had a nice highresolution touch sensor, it would be much better!



A bit of a plan

- t₀: Imagine we have some visual skill (e.g. ability to gather some visual information), and some motor exploratory skills as well (e.g. different grasp types)
- t₁: Explore object manipulation with tactile, visual, and proprioceptive (finger positions) information
 - How do we put this things together?
 - Not only sensory but also motor info can be used
 - What set of objects does make sense to use?
 - Shall we test for transfer of information between modalities?
- t₂: If it's relevant for the project, use this knowledge, for instance, to imitate



What do we need to understand?

- What information do need to participate into the object representation?
- How to put things together? Where do we start from (definition of t₀)?
- Which are the developmental rules?
- Which are the design principles?
- What if we test the system with objects with conflicting properties?



What do we expect to get

- Theory and principles
- Hints about how a certain "representation" evolves under certain rules and interaction with the environment



Feedback please!